



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO		
09/437,205	11/09/99	MACINNIS		Α	36103/SAH/B6	
_	•			EXAMINER		
TM02/0808 CHRISTIE PARKER & HALE LLP				YANG R		
P 0 BOX 706		Topas Saure F		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PASADENA CA				2672 DATE MAILED:	8	
				DAIL MAILED.	09709701	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



•		γ			_			
Office Action Summers		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/437,205	5 	MACINNIS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
The MAN WO DATE of this communication and		Ryan R Ya		2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is i	nis action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖾	Claim(s) $1-41$ is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-20,23-26 and 29-39</u> is/are reject	cted.						
	Claim(s) <u>7,8,21,22,27,28,40 and 41</u> is/are obj							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	quirement.					
Application	on Papers							
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)∐ T	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce							
-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)[he proposed drawing correction filed on			disapproved by the Examiner.				
40\[] 1	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		ice action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
_	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	n priority un	tor 35 11 S C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u>5,7</u> .		w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-41 are pending in this application. Claims 1 and 23 are independent claims. This action is non-final.
- The present title of the invention is "Graphics Display System with Anti-Aliased
 Text and Graphics Feature".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1- 2, 6, 17-18, 23, 26 and 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murphy (6,038,031).

As per claim 1, <u>Murphy</u> discloses a method of displaying a graphical element comprising the steps of:

filtering the graphical element with a low pass filter to generate a multi-level value per pixel at an intended final display resolution (Figure 4; 430; "Bilinear filtering improves the appearance of texture mapping surfaces by considering the values of four adjacent texels in order to determine the value of the displayed pixel", column 2, line 53-56); and

Art Unit: 2672

using the multi-level values as alpha blend values for the graphical element in a subsequent compositing stage (Figure 4; 450, since the RGBA registers is 32-bit, the alpha blend value is multi-level value).

5. As per claim 2, Murphy demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of independent claim 1, supra, and further discloses wherein the multi-level values are written into a display buffer where the multi-level values are used as alpha blend values when contents of the display buffer are composited with other graphics and video images (Figure 2; RAMDAC 295).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3-5 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy (6,038,031), and further in view of Foley et al. (Computer Graphics: Principles and Practice).

As per claims 3-5, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of independent claim 1, supra.

It is noted that <u>Murphy</u> does not explicitly disclose the graphical element is "initially rendered at a higher resolution than the intended final display resolution", and

Art Unit: 2672

"is initially rendered at four times the resolution of the intended final display resolution in a horizontal axis", and "is initially rendered at four times the resolution of the intended final display resolution in a vertical axis", however, this is known in the art as taught by Foley et al., hereinafter, Foley. Foley discloses that in order to prevent damage caused by an inadequate initial sampling rate "a rule of thumb is that supersampling four times in each of x and y often will be satisfactory", page 643, line 4-5.

Thus, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Foley into <u>Murphy</u> in order to prevent image damage caused by inadequate sampling.

- 8. As per claim 6, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of independent claim 1, supra, and further discloses wherein the low pass filter is a box filter ("four adjacent texels", column 2, line 54-55).
- 9. Claims 9-16, 19-20, 24, 29-36 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy (6,038,031).

As per claim 9, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of independent claim 1, supra.

As for using "the alpha blend values include CLUT indexes, each CLUT index is associated with a CLUT entry, and each CLUT entry contains a CLUT alpha blend value", the method of using CLUT for blending color is notoriously well known in the art, therefore would have been obvious to use it for faster alpha blending.

10. As per claim 10, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of independent claim 1, supra.

Art Unit: 2672

As for "the alpha blend values are used to form alpha portions of pixels having a color portion and an alpha portion", since Murphy discloses the color data is RGBA data, column 3, line 38, it is obvious it has a color portion and an alpha portion.

11. As per claim 11, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of dependent claim 10, supra.

As for the pixels having color portions and alpha portions are in an alphaRGB (4,4,4,4) format, the format is notoriously well known in the art and would have been obvious to use it at the time of invention because it is a designer's choice of a well known format.

12. As per claim 12, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of independent claim 1, supra.

As for the graphical element has a plurality of foreground colors, which are filtered using a low pass filter, <u>Murphy</u>'s source pixels are considered foreground colors, and since its color of pixels are represented in RGBA format, it is obvious that the pixels have a plurality of colors.

13. As per claim 13, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of dependent claim 12, supra.

As for the filtered plurality of foreground colors are used as color portions of pixels having a color portion and an alpha portion, since <u>Murphy</u> discloses the color data is RGBA data, column 3, line 38, it is obvious it has a color portion and a alpha portion.

Art Unit: 2672

14. As per claim 14, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of dependent claim 13, supra.

As for the pixels having a color portion and an alpha portion are in an alphaRGB format, since Murphy discloses the color data is RGBA data, column 3, line 38, it is obvious it has a color portion and a alpha portion.

15. As per claim 15, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of dependent claim 13, supra.

As for the pixels having a color portion and an alpha portion are in an alphaYUV format, since Murphy discloses the YUV format is an alternate color coding system in the computer graphics industry, column 8, line 1-2, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary in the art at the time the invention was made to also incorporate the alternate format.

16. As per claim 16, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of dependent claim 12, supra.

As for the filtered plurality of foreground colors are used as color choices in a CLUT format, since the method of using CLUT for blending color is notoriously well known in the art, therefore would have been obvious to use it for faster color blending.

17. As per claims 17 and 18, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of independent claim 1, supra, and further discloses an outline of the graphical element, including all colors other than background color, is filtered using the low pass filter, wherein the graphical element has a plurality of foreground colors and wherein the filtered outline is used as an alpha per pixel value ("At the edge of the cut-out, where

Art Unit: 2672

valid and invalid source pixels are adjacent, the alpha value after filtering vary in proportion to the distance from the edge of the cut-out", column 6, line 52-55).

18. As per claim 19, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of dependent claim 18, supra.

As for the filtered outline is used as the alpha per pixel value in a direct color format, the direct color format including an alphaRGB format, the format is notoriously well known in the art and would have been obvious to use it at the time of invention because it is a designer's choice of a well known format

19. As per claim 20, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of dependent claim 18, supra.

As for the filtered outline is used as a choice of an alpha value per CLUT entry in a CLUT format, the method of using CLUT for blending color is notoriously well known, therefore would have been obvious to use it for faster color blending.

20. As per claim 23, <u>Murphy</u> discloses a graphics display system for displaying a graphical element comprising:

a low pass filter for filtering the graphical element to generate multi-level values, one multi-level value per each pixel, at an intended final display resolution (Figure 4; 430);

a display buffer for storing the multi-level values (Figure 3; 340, Localbuffer Write 340 stores Localbuffer data to memory, column 7, line 51); and

Application/Control Number: 09/437,205 Page 8

Art Unit: 2672

a display engine for compositing the multi-level values with graphics images (Figure 3; 360, Texture/Fog/Blend 360 modifies color, column 7, line 55, where the Fog is graphics image).

21. As per claim 24, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of independent claim 23, supra.

As for the multi-level values are used as alpha blend values for blending with the graphics images, since the RGBA register used to store the color value is 32bit, column 8, line 25, it is obvious that the alpha blend value is multi-level.

22. As per claim 25, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of independent claim 23, supra.

It is noted that <u>Murphy</u> does not explicitly disclose the graphical element is "initially rendered at a higher resolution than the intended final display resolution", however, this is known in the art as taught by Foley. Foley discloses that in order to prevent damage caused by an inadequate initial sampling rate "a rule of thumb is that supersampling four times in each of x and y often will be satisfactory", page 643, line 4-

- 23. As per claim 26, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of independent claim 23, supra, and further discloses wherein the low pass filter is a box filter ("four adjacent texels", column 2, line 54-55).
- 24. As per claim 29, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of dependent claim 24, supra.

Art Unit: 2672

As for including CLUT indexes in alpha blending, the method is notoriously in the art, therefore would have been obvious to use it for faster alpha blending.

25. As per claim 30, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of dependent claim 24, supra.

As for "the alpha blend values are used to form alpha portions of pixels having a color portion and an alpha portion", since <u>Murphy</u> discloses the color data is RGBA data, column 3, line 38, it is obvious that it has a color portion (RGB) and an alpha portion (A).

26. As per claim 31, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of dependent claim 30, supra.

As for the pixels having color portions and alpha portions are in an alphaRGB (4,4,4,4) format, the format is notoriously well known in the art and would have been obvious to use it at the time of invention because it is a designer's choice of a well known format.

27. As per claim 32, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of independent claim 23, supra.

As for the graphical element has a plurality of foreground colors, which are filtered using a low pass filter, <u>Murphy</u>'s source pixels are considered foreground colors, and since its color of pixels are represented in RGBA format, it is obvious that the pixels have a plurality of colors.

28. As per claim 33, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of dependent claim 32, supra.

Art Unit: 2672

As for the colors are used as color portions of pixels having a color portion and an alpha portion, since the color is represented in RGBA format it is obvious that it has a color portion and an alpha portion.

29. As per claim 34, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of dependent claim 33, supra.

As for the pixels having a color portion and an alpha portion are in an alphaRGB format, it is noted that Murphy uses RGBA format, however, since the alpha representation defers only in position, they are considered equivalent.

30. As per claim 35, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of dependent claim 33, supra.

As for the pixels having a color portion and an alpha portion are in an alphaYUV format, since Murphy discloses the YUV format is an alternate color coding system in the computer graphics industry, column 8, line 1-2, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary in the art at the time the invention was made to also incorporate the alternate format.

31. As per claim 36, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of dependent claim 32, supra.

As for the filtered plurality of foreground colors are used as color choices in a CLUT format, since the method of using CLUT for blending color is notoriously well known in the art, therefore would have been obvious to use it for faster color blending.

32. As per claims 37 and 38, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of independent claim 23, supra, and further discloses wherein an outline of the

Art Unit: 2672

graphical element, including all colors other than background color, is filtered using the low pass filter, wherein the graphical element has a plurality of foreground colors and wherein the filtered outline is used as an alpha per pixel value ("At the edge of the cutout, where valid and invalid source pixels are adjacent, the alpha value after filtering vary in proportion to the distance from the edge of the cut-out", column 6, line 52-55).

33. As per claim 39, <u>Murphy</u> demonstrated all elements as applied in the rejection of dependent claim 38, supra.

As for the filtered outline is used as the alpha per pixel value in a direct color format, the direct color format including an alphaRGB format, the format is notoriously well known in the art and would have been obvious to use it as the time of invention because it is designer's choice of a well known format.

Allowable Subject Matter

34. Claims 7-8, 21-22, 27-28 and 40-41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

35. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2672

Inquiries

36. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ryan R Yang whose telephone number is (703) 308-

6133. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30AM-7:00PM Second Wed

Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

308-6606 for regular communications and (703) 308-6606 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

4700.

Ryan Yang

July 19, 2001

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 12